(f) and (g) Do not arise in view of (d) and (e) above.

(h) some complaints alleging over-invoicing in imports nave been received and the details in this respect have been furnished in reply to Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 420 answered on 27th February, 1992. The cases related to overinvoicing of imports were referred to the Directorate of Revenue Intelligence for further necessary action.

M/s. Glaxo's writ petition

2244. SHRIMATI SATYA BAHIN: SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2073 given in the Rajya Sabha on 12th December, 1991 and state:

(a) when did M|s. Glaxo file a writ petition in the Delhi High Court;

(b) what is the ground on which the writ petition was filed;

(c) whether Government have filed a counter affidavit in the case;

(d) if so, by when;

(e) whether it is a fact that M|s. Glaxo has taken stay against the action to be taken by Government;

(f) if so, the details thereof; and

(g) whether Government have taken any steps to vacate the stay, if so, by when and if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) The Writ petition was filed by M/s. Glaxo in Delhi High Court on 21-7-90 against the Government's Notice dated 18-6.90 conveying the tentative liability of the company as Rs. 66.35 crores. They filed an amendment thereto on 4-12-90.

(c) and (d) The Counter Affidavit against M/s. Glaxo's main Writ Petition was filed on 8-10-90 and to the amendment to its original writ on 3-4-91.

(e) to (g) The Company's Writ Petition in the Delhi High Court is being contested by the Govt. The hearing of the case has not yet commenced. In the meantime, the Govt. has been prevented from taking any coercive step against the company. The case will be defended in the best public interest in accordance with the advice of the Govt. legal experts.

Furnishming Details in Form-6

2245. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3112 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 19th December, 1991 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the furnishing of details in Form-6 is a statutory requirement;

(b) how Government are allowing price increases even to those companies who do not give the details in Form-6;

(c) if producers are not giving details in Form-6 to Government under what provision Government are obliged to give price increases;

(d) whether it is a fact that Form-6 is not being deliberately given by the producers to hide all omissions and commissions;

(e) whether it is a fact that bureaucrate are in league with the producers; and

(f) if so, what steps have been taken in this direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA